

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/505,141 08/20/2004		Koji Tsukuma	Q83134 1860		
23373	7590	07/07/2006		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE	•	PLLC A AVENUE, N.W.	VANOY, TIMOTHY C		
SUITE 800	3 I L VAINI	A AVENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037				1754	

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/505,141	TSUKUMA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Timothy C. Vanoy	1754					
 The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply 	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 At	<u>ıgust 2004</u> .						
	·						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 3-5,8,9 and 11 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,6,7,10 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 10 August 2005 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. △ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/20/2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/505,141

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract in this specification is not in the form of a single paragraph, and is not limited to 150 words or less.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent

Application/Control Number: 10/505,141

Art Unit: 1754

granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 6, 7 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japan Patent Document No. 2002-53,321 A (hence "JP-321").

The Derwent English abstract of JP-321 discloses lithium manganese oxide in the form of "grape clusters" of particles containing LiMn₂O₄. The particles have a diameter of 1 to 100 micrometers, and a specific surface area of 0.1 to 10 m²/g. The composition is form of primary and secondary particles.

The composition was made be mixing manganese dioxide with a water soluble lithium compound. The mixed solution was dried, and then heated at 500 to 900 °C to produce the composition.

The composition is used as an active material for a lithium secondary battery.

Claims 6, 7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 6,706,444 B1 to Numata et al.

The Numata et al. patent describes a process for making lithium manganate spinel structure compound (please see col. 3 lines 2 and 3), comprising

mixing together manganese dioxide, a lithium compound that may be lithium carbonate and also a substituent compound that may be an oxide or hydroxide of aluminum, magnesium, chromium, cobalt and/or nickel (please see col. 3 lines 1-3 and also lines 50-67 and also col. 4 lines 1-9);

Application/Control Number: 10/505,141

Art Unit: 1754

subjecting the mixture to a granulation process (which may be a wet process) and which may be accomplished by spray dry granulation (please see col. 4 lines 24-28), and

burning the resulting granules at a temperature ranging from 750 to 1,000 °C to obtain the lithium manganate spinel structure composition (please see col. 4 lines 30-33), as set forth in applicants' claims 6 and 7.

The process may also use oxides and hydroxides of calcium, titanium, etc. (please see col. 4 lines 6-10), as set forth in applicants' claim 10.

Claims 3, 4, 5, 8, 9 and 11 have not been rejected under either 35USC102 or 35USC103 because the limitations of these claims are not taught or suggested in either Japan Patent Document 2002-53,321 A or U. S. Patent 6,706,444 B1.

The following references are made of record:

- U. S. Patent 7,008,608 B2 disclosing Li-Co-Mn oxide as cathode material for lithium batteries;
 - U. S. Patent 6,998,069 B1 disclosing electrode material for positive electrodes;
- U. S. Patent 6,875,416 B1 disclosing a spray drying process for making lithium-transition metal mixtures (please see col. 3 line 50, for example), and
 - U. S. Patent 6,670,076 B1 disclosing spinel-type lithium-manganese oxides.

Application/Control Number: 10/505,141 Page 5

Art Unit: 1754

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy C. Vanoy whose telephone number is 571-272-8158. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman, can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Timothy C Vanoy Primary Examiner Art Unit 1754